



Ettrick counter provisional results

NOTE : These are unprocessed figures and will change slightly on complete analysis

YEAR	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Average 1998- 2008
January	0	0	23	0	12	0	0	0	43		4	8
February	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3		1	1
March	4	0	6	0	10	0	7	14	0	5	3	4
April	21	59	69	11	35	34	20	17	na	63	11	34
May	63	186	74	24	438	304	96	202	236	104		173
June	360	224	401	11	335	NA	105	163	127	NA		216
July	173	47	60	75	115	155	170	31	170	NA		111
August	426	20	557	425	432	71	670	124	35	131		289
September	1192	2401	2576	1045	940	94	1819	1561	2629	778		1504
October	2971	3357	2093	4039	1674	1858	2080	4242	2926	2996		2824
November	1114	1310	579	531	1908	3201	412	567	845	939		1141
December	55	50	17	86	44	31	11	415	37	51		80
TOTAL	6379	7654	6457	6247	5943	5748	5390	7336	7051	5067		6487

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR PUBLISHED WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF THE TWEED FOUNDATION



Gala counter provisional results

NOTE : These are unprocessed figures and will change slightly on complete analysis

YEAR	2006	2007	2008																	
January		0	0																	
February		0	0																	
March		0	0																	
April		0	0																	
May			0																	
June			5																	
July			22																	
August			96																	
September	11	146																		
October	848	759																		
November	210	608																		
December	18	50																		
TOTAL	1087	1686																		

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR PUBLISHED WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF THE TWEED FOUNDATION

Ettrick notes

1991 The counter was out of operation for the second half of July and the first half of August but the water was then very low

2001 The Spring of 2001 was cold and then very dry, so there were no spates in the first half of the year to bring the fish up

2003 An electrical storm on the 30th of May put the counter out of action. There was a substantial run of fish on the 31st which was uncounted. The monthly total for May is correct to the 6th, then is an estimate from then to the 29th when it was last manually read. The estimate given for May 2003 does not include any element for the 29th to the 31st of May. The counter was re-installed on the 4th July, so the July total is for only 27 days. Data from 2003 is not used to calculate the monthly averages for May, June and July or for the annual figures.*

2004 A log in the scanner in June could not be removed till after the spate that had brought it down had passed. After the counter was switched off on the 19th August to protect it from an electrical storm, it failed to re-start properly on the 20th and was not corrected till the 23rd. As the counts in the three days before were 41, 41 & 22 and the counts in the three days afterwards were 37, 42 & 30, reasonably consistent totals, it seems justifiable to average these for the missing two days' counts, making 35 for each day to add to a total of 600 exactly for the other days of the month

2005 The counter switched itself off on the 26th May and was not restarted till the 2nd June. The counts for the five full days before the switch off were 20, 12, 21, 7 & 11 respectively (daily average = 14), and 3, 17, 20, 11 & 23 (daily average 15). Taking the average for a missing day as 14 therefore, 84 fish have been added to the May total, and 28 to the June (=112 extra for the total to the end of June)

2006 The counter was out of operation from April to the 16th May, and for 24hrs on the 3rd of July. A software problem became apparent in late September – although all fish were being “seen” by the computer, many were then rejected. The recorded total for September was 2501, revised total using data from visual counts for the days on which these were made was 2629. For other days in the month, no revision possible so the monthly total is very much a minimum – there were 2366 “rejects” recorded for this month. The annual total is therefore a minimum number

2007 The counter was not installed till the 22nd of March. Two Salmon had been caught upstream by then. Out of action 31st May to 1st August due to lightning strike and then inability of manufacturers to do a proper repair.

EFFICIENCIES : The efficiency is checked from time to time by making visual counts of the fish passing through the scanner and checking that all fish that do so are actually being registered.

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR PUBLISHED WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF THE TWEED FOUNDATION